



S.P.K PUBLICATIONS

# ADVANCED PERSPECTIVES IN MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH

VOLUME I

*Exploring New Frontiers Across Disciplines*



ISBN No: 978-81-688722-1-9

# **ADVANCED PERSPECTIVES IN MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH**

## *VOLUME – 1*

### ***Editors***

*Dr. S. Senthilkumar*

*Dr. Chokkamreddy Prakash*

*Dr. J. Vimal Priyan*

*Dr.G.Rajkumar*

### **Published by**



**S.P.K Publications**

3/597, Lakshmanapuram, Kulathur, Dindigul, TamilNadu.

Website: [www.publications.spkgrps.com](http://www.publications.spkgrps.com)

Contact: [info@publications.spkgrps.com](mailto:info@publications.spkgrps.com)

First Edition – August 2026

ADVANCED PERSPECTIVES IN MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH VOLUME – 1

**Editors:**

**Dr. S. Senthilkumar**

HOD and Associate Professor in  
Finance and Management,  
Skyline University Nigeria,  
SAMAA – School of Arts, Management  
and Social Sciences,  
Kano, Nigeria.

**Dr. Chokkamreddy Prakash**

Assistant Professor  
School of Management Studies,  
Guru Nanak Institutions  
Technical Campus,  
Hyderabad.

**Dr. J. Vimal Priyan**

Head of the Department  
Department of Commerce CA (SF)  
V.H.N.Senthikumara Nadar College  
(Autonomous),  
Virudhunagar.

**Dr.G.Rajkumar**

Assistant Professor  
PG and Research Department of Chemistry  
Vivekananda College,  
Tiruvedakam West,  
Madurai.

© S.P.K Publications, Dindigul – 624005

ISBN No: 978-81-688722-1-9

M.R.P: 499/-

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the copyright owners.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Disclaimer**

The authors are solely responsible for the contents of the documents compiled in this volume. The publishers or editors do not take any responsibility for the same in any manner. Errors, if any, are purely unintentional and readers are requested to communicate such errors to the editors or publishers to avoid discrepancies in future.

**Published by:**

S.P.K Publications  
3/597, Lakshmanapuram,  
Kulathur (po),  
Dindigul – 624 005  
Phone: 93440 51838

[spkpublications2025@gmail.com](mailto:spkpublications2025@gmail.com)

**Printed by:**

Sai Prints and Binders  
1A, Krishna Puram Colony,  
Main Road,  
Madurai – 625 014  
Phone: 90038 56571

# PREFACE

It is our pleasure to present *Advanced Perspectives in Multidisciplinary Research – Volume I: Exploring New Frontiers across Disciplines*, a scholarly collection that brings together contemporary research and emerging perspectives from diverse academic fields.

This volume reflects the growing importance of multidisciplinary and interdisciplinary research in addressing contemporary academic, technological, economic, social, and scientific challenges. The contributions cover a wide range of themes, including finance and investment, banking, artificial intelligence, digital transformation, sustainability, green finance, human resource management, marketing, entrepreneurship, education, social inclusion, chemistry, and emerging technologies.

Particular attention is given to emerging areas such as AI applications in banking and HRM, digital trade and financial technologies, robotics in retail, augmented and virtual reality in education, green consumerism, ethical marketing, and sustainable finance. The volume also includes scientific and social research addressing polymer materials, antibacterial applications, social inclusion, and academic integrity.

The diverse contributions demonstrate how knowledge from different disciplines can complement one another and generate meaningful insights for contemporary society. The book is intended to provide a useful academic reference for researchers, academicians, research scholars, students, practitioners, and professionals seeking to understand emerging developments across disciplines.

We sincerely appreciate all the contributing authors, reviewers, academic institutions, and collaborators whose valuable efforts have supported the development of this volume. We hope this book encourages further research, interdisciplinary collaboration, innovation, and knowledge sharing.

**The Editors**

**Advanced Perspectives in Multidisciplinary Research – Volume I**

**S.P.K Publications | 2026**

|    |                                                                                                                                                                                                              |     |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 15 | Characterisation of Unplasticised PVP – (H <sub>2</sub> N-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> -CO <sub>2</sub> H) based polymer electrolyte<br><b>- R.S.Diana Sangeetha, P.Thillai Arasu, G. Hiran Kumar</b>       | 138 |
| 16 | Exploring the antibacterial efficacy of chemically prepared vanadium based polyoxomatalates<br><b>-Thenmozhi Moorthy, Vishnu Loganathan, Jeyabalan Thavasikani, Diana Sangeetha R S</b>                      | 151 |
| 17 | Artificial Intelligence in Human Resource Management: Transformation Talent Acquisition, Employee Engagement, and Performance Management<br><b>-Dr.R.Visalakshi, K.Shana, P.Swathi, D.Boopathi, S.Ramesh</b> | 164 |
| 18 | Digital Humanities as a Tool for Enhancing Financial Literacy<br><b>-Dr.P.Archanaa, Dr.L.Santhi</b>                                                                                                          | 175 |
| 19 | Ethical Behaviour and Emerging Marketing Technologies: An Empirical Study among College Student in Pollachi<br><b>-Ms.S.Chidambara Selvi, Antony.G, Deepak.S.K, Santhosh.N, Deepak. C</b>                    | 185 |
| 20 | Ethical Marketing Practices in the Era of Emerging Technologies<br><b>-Dr.P.Archanaa, Dr.M.Thilagavathi</b>                                                                                                  | 194 |
| 21 | Role of robotics in Retail Business Operations<br><b>_Dr.Simi.V, Dr.A.S.Vijay Anand, Dr.U.Thaslim Ariff</b>                                                                                                  | 203 |

## Artificial Intelligence in Human Resource Management: Transforming Talent Acquisition, Employee Engagement, and Performance Management

**Dr. R. Visalakshi<sup>1</sup>, Sahana.K<sup>2</sup>, Swathi.P<sup>3</sup>, Boopathi.D<sup>4</sup>, Ramesh.S<sup>5</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Assistant professor, Department of Business Administration, NGM College, Pollachi

<sup>2,3,4,5</sup> III BBA, NGM College, Pollachi.

---

### Abstract:

Artificial intelligence has moved from a peripheral experiment to a structural feature of human resource management. This chapter examines how AI is reshaping three interlocking pillars of the HR function: talent acquisition, employee engagement, and performance management. Drawing on recent industry research and scholarly literature, the chapter traces the shift from rule-based automation toward predictive and, increasingly, agentic systems capable of sourcing candidates, forecasting workforce needs, synthesising performance signals, and personalising the employee experience at scale. It also confronts the tension between efficiency gains and the ethical, legal, and human costs of algorithmic decision-making, including bias, opacity, surveillance, and the erosion of managerial judgment. The chapter closes with a discussion of governance frameworks and a forward-looking assessment of human-AI collaboration, arguing that the organizations most likely to benefit from AI in HRM are those that treat it as an augmentation of human judgment rather than a replacement for it.

**Keywords:** artificial intelligence, human resource management, talent acquisition, employee engagement, performance management, algorithmic bias, HR technology governance

---

### 1. Introduction

Human resource management has always been an information-intensive discipline. Every core HR activity, from identifying suitable candidates to appraising performance, depends on the ability to gather, interpret, and act on data about people. For most of the twentieth century, this work was carried out through paper files, structured interviews, and periodic reviews. The digitisation of HR records in the 1990s and 2000s improved administrative efficiency but did little to change the underlying logic of the function. Artificial intelligence represents a more fundamental break. Rather than simply storing and retrieving information faster, AI systems can now read unstructured text, recognise patterns across millions of data points, generate natural-language content, and, in the newest generation of tools, take autonomous action within defined limits.

The scale of adoption illustrates how quickly this shift has occurred. Industry surveys indicate that the proportion of organisations using AI somewhere in their HR processes rose sharply in a short period, with recruiting emerging as the single most common use case, ahead of broader HR technology and learning

---

and development functions (Talent MSH, 2026). A parallel body of research from Gallup shows that AI use is no longer confined to pilot programmes; it has become embedded in the daily working life of a large share of employees, even as overall engagement levels remain largely unchanged (Gallup, 2026). This apparent paradox, rising AI adoption alongside stagnant engagement, is one of the central puzzles this chapter seeks to unpack.

This chapter is organised around the three HR domains in which AI has achieved the deepest penetration: talent acquisition, employee engagement, and performance management. For each domain, the discussion covers the specific technologies in use, the evidence for their impact, and the practical and ethical trade-offs that accompany them. A dedicated section then addresses cross-cutting governance issues, including algorithmic bias, data privacy, and the evolving regulatory landscape. The chapter concludes with a discussion of agentic AI and the future shape of human-AI collaboration in HR.

## **2. The Evolution of AI in Human Resource Management**

AI in HRM did not appear suddenly; it evolved through several overlapping waves. The earliest wave consisted of rule-based applicant tracking systems that filtered resumes using keyword matching. These systems were efficient but crude, often excluding qualified candidates whose resumes did not use the exact terminology the system was programmed to detect. A second wave introduced machine learning models trained on historical hiring and performance data, enabling systems to rank candidates, predict attrition risk, and flag employees likely to disengage. This wave improved predictive accuracy but inherited a serious weakness: because the models learned from historical decisions, they could reproduce and even amplify the biases embedded in that history (ScienceDirect, 2025).

The current wave, sometimes described as generative and agentic AI, differs in two important respects. First, large language models can now generate coherent written content, draft performance reviews, summarise open-ended survey responses, and conduct conversational screening interviews. Second, an emerging class of agentic systems can execute multi-step workflows with limited human intervention, for example autonomously scheduling interviews across multiple calendars and time zones or triggering a coaching conversation the moment an engagement signal crosses a defined threshold (Deloitte, 2025; Incruiter, 2026). Deloitte's analysis of talent acquisition technology trends identifies agent-powered recruiting as one of the defining shifts of the current period, describing a move away from purely reactive hiring toward proactive, AI-augmented talent strategy (Deloitte, 2025).

This evolution has practical implications for how the HR function is organised. Where earlier automation primarily reduced administrative burden, the newer generation of tools increasingly participates in judgment-oriented tasks that were previously the exclusive domain of human recruiters and managers.

---

That shift is precisely what makes the ethical and governance questions addressed later in this chapter so pressing.

### **3. AI in Talent Acquisition**

Talent acquisition is the HR function in which AI adoption is most advanced. Recruiting activity concentrates a large volume of repetitive, time-sensitive tasks, sourcing, screening, scheduling, and communication, that map naturally onto automation. Recent estimates suggest AI now touches the majority of hiring processes in some form, with adoption having roughly doubled within a two-year period, and recruiting consistently ranked as the leading use case for AI within HR (Talent MSH, 2026).

#### **3.1 Resume Screening and Candidate Sourcing**

AI-powered applicant tracking systems can now process a substantially larger volume of applications than manual review would allow, using natural language processing to match candidate profiles against role requirements rather than relying solely on keyword overlap (Careertrainer.ai, 2026). Skills-based matching, in particular, has gained traction as organizations move away from credential-first screening toward an assessment of demonstrated competencies. This shift is intended to widen candidate pools and reduce the exclusion of non-traditional applicants, though its success depends heavily on how the underlying skills taxonomy is constructed and validated.

Sourcing tools now also perform proactive candidate identification, scanning professional networks and internal talent pools to surface passive candidates who match a role's requirements before a vacancy is even advertised. Organizations that have adopted AI-assisted recruiter messaging report a measurable improvement in the likelihood of a quality hire compared with organizations that make limited use of such tools (LinkedIn data cited in Incruiter, 2026).

#### **3.2 Predictive Analytics and Workforce Planning**

Beyond individual candidate evaluation, AI is increasingly used for workforce-level forecasting: predicting seasonal staffing needs, modelling attrition risk, and estimating the return on investment of different sourcing channels. Organisations using predictive scheduling and demand forecasting in high-turnover sectors such as hospitality have reported meaningful reductions in emergency hiring and improvements in guest-facing service consistency, alongside better alignment between employee availability and predicted demand (Hirebee, 2025). At the enterprise level, reported gains from AI-enabled recruiting include reductions in cost-per-hire in the range of thirty to forty percent and reductions in time-to-hire of twenty-five to fifty percent, with some organizations reporting a substantial return on investment within eighteen months of implementation (Incruiter, 2026). These figures should be read cautiously: they are drawn primarily from vendor-adjacent industry research rather than independently

---

peer-reviewed studies, and the definitions of "cost-per-hire" and "quality of hire" vary considerably across sources.

### **3.3 Conversational AI, Scheduling, and Candidate Experience**

Interview scheduling has historically consumed a disproportionate share of recruiter time; some estimates place scheduling-related tasks at more than a third of total recruiter effort (SSR data cited in Incruiter, 2026). AI scheduling agents that synchronise calendars, manage time-zone conversion, and handle rescheduling autonomously have compressed coordination that once took several days into a matter of minutes. Organizations that have fully deployed such tools report large reductions in coordination time, and case examples from large employers describe scheduling the substantial majority of interviews within twenty-four hours of a request (Incruiter, 2026).

Conversational agents also shape the candidate's experience of an organization. Survey research suggests that a majority of candidates are now comfortable with AI-driven elements of the hiring process, including initial screening conversations and automated status updates, and that response times have fallen considerably where chat-based tools are used (Careertrainer.ai, 2026; Truffle, 2026). At the same time, a meaningful minority of recruiters remain concerned that automated screening may overlook candidates whose skills or experience do not fit standard patterns, underscoring the continued importance of human review at key decision points (JoinGenius, 2025).

### **3.4 The Limits of Automation in Hiring**

Despite the breadth of automation, the overwhelming majority of hiring managers continue to regard human judgement as essential to the final hiring decision (Incruiter, 2026). The prevailing model emerging across the industry is therefore best described as augmentation rather than replacement: AI absorbs high-volume, low-judgment tasks, freeing recruiters to focus on relationship-building, negotiation, and the qualitative assessment of fit that remains difficult to encode algorithmically. This division of labour also has a defensive rationale. As AI has made it easier for candidates to generate polished but potentially misleading application materials, including AI-assisted answers and, in extreme cases, deep fake video impersonation, employers have had to invest in parallel verification measures, creating what several industry analyses describe as an emerging arms race between application-side and screening-side AI (Incruiter, 2026).

## **4. AI in Employee Engagement**

If talent acquisition represents the most mature application of AI in HR, employee engagement is the domain in which its promise and its limitations are most visible side by side.

---

#### **4.1 Sentiment Analysis, Pulse Surveys, and Wellbeing Signals**

AI-enabled engagement platforms now analyse open-text survey responses, internal communication patterns, and behavioral indicators such as message volume or after-hours activity to infer engagement and burnout risk in close to real time. Proponents argue that this allows organizations to identify disengagement before it manifests as attrition, prompting a development conversation or manager intervention at the point where it is most likely to be effective (Peoplehum, 2026). Predictive models can also flag when an employee's development appears to have stalled, a pattern strongly associated with disengagement, and can direct HR attention toward the manager relationships most closely linked to team-level engagement trends, reflecting a consistent finding in engagement research that the immediate manager remains the single strongest predictor of employee engagement (Peoplehum, 2026).

#### **4.2 Personalisation at Scale**

A recurring theme in recent engagement research is the recognition that a workforce spanning several generations cannot be engaged through a uniform programme. AI-driven personalisation, tailoring recognition, communication style, and development content to individual preferences, has been associated with higher reported engagement in organisations that have implemented personalised wellness and recognition programmes (Zippia data cited in Articsledge, 2026). On boarding is a particularly visible application: several large employers now use AI to tailor on boarding content, tutorials, and policy guidance to a new hire's specific role, a practice reported to shorten the time required for new employees to reach full productivity (Articsledge, 2026).

#### **4.3 The Engagement Paradox**

Despite substantial investment in engagement technology, global engagement levels have remained essentially flat for an extended period. Gallup's State of the Global Workplace research places worldwide engagement at under a quarter of employees, a figure that has changed little over the past decade despite considerable spending on engagement tools (Peoplehum, 2026). More recent Gallup data covering the first half of 2026 shows domestic engagement in the United States similarly unchanged year over year, with a meaningful share of employees actively disengaged (Gallup, 2026).

This stagnation is instructive. Gallup's analysis suggests that access to AI tools alone does not improve the employee experience; what matters is how AI is introduced. Employees whose managers actively support AI use and communicate clear expectations about it report engagement levels considerably above the national average, and manager support for AI has itself been rising, which appears to be contributing to modest gains in employees' clarity about what is expected of them (Gallup, 2026). The implication is that engagement technology functions less as a direct driver of engagement and more as an amplifier of

---

existing management practice: strong managers supported by good data become more effective, while weak management practices are not substantially offset by better dashboards.

A related concern is the gap between managerial perception and employee experience. Research cited in industry analysis has found that the large majority of managers believe their direct reports are thriving, while only a small minority of employees report feeling that way themselves, a discrepancy that AI-enabled listening tools are intended to close but which also illustrates how much interpretive work remains dependent on the manager who receives the data, not the system that generates it (Harris Poll data cited in Articsledge, 2026).

## **5. AI in Performance Management**

Performance management has traditionally been one of the most contested areas of HR practice, criticised for being time-consuming, subjective, and poorly linked to actual productivity outcomes. AI is being positioned as a partial remedy to each of these criticisms.

### **5.1 Continuous Feedback and Review Automation**

Rather than relying solely on an annual or semi-annual review cycle, AI-enabled systems can synthesise ongoing performance signals, project contributions, peer feedback, goal progress, into continuous, lower-effort feedback loops. Automated drafting tools can generate an initial summary of a review period for a manager to edit and personalize, reducing the administrative load of the review process while, proponents argue, improving consistency across reviewers (MiHCM, 2026). This is explicitly framed in recent industry guidance as augmentation rather than surveillance: the stated purpose is to scale a manager's capacity for coaching, not to monitor employees covertly or measure productivity without consent (MiHCM, 2026).

Research from WTW indicates that organizations see considerable unrealised value in this area. Close to half of surveyed organizations believe that optimising their performance management process could increase productivity by at least ten percent, and a growing share are integrating AI and skills data directly into that process, even though most existing programmes are still judged to lack clarity and effectiveness (WTW, 2025).

### **5.2 Predictive Performance Analytics**

Beyond synthesis of existing feedback, AI models are increasingly used to forecast performance trajectories and skills gaps before they materialise into formal review outcomes. This includes composition analysis of performance distributions across teams and the use of engagement signals as leading indicators of future performance risk (MiHCM, 2026). Several vendors now link performance

---

data directly to compensation decisions and learning recommendations within a single platform, with the stated aim of helping organizations identify high performers and direct development resources more precisely (People Managing People, 2026).

### **5.3 Linking Performance to Learning and Business Outcomes**

A notable shift in recent platform design is the move from reporting engagement or performance scores in isolation toward explicitly connecting them to turnover rates, productivity, and financial outcomes. Industry commentary frames this as a maturation of the HR analytics function, from describing how employees feel toward quantifying what that feeling costs or saves the organisation in concrete terms (Gojoe, 2026). SHRM's most recent survey of HR leaders found that a large majority of chief human resource officers expect AI adoption within HR to expand further, with productivity, cost savings, and employee satisfaction identified as the primary success metrics against which that expansion will be judged (SHRM data cited in Gojoe, 2026).

## **6. Ethical, Legal, and Governance Considerations**

The efficiency gains documented in the preceding sections cannot be assessed independently of the ethical and legal questions that accompany them. As AI systems take on a larger role in decisions that materially affect people's livelihoods, hiring, pay, promotion, and termination, the standard of scrutiny applied to those systems must rise accordingly.

### **6.1 Algorithmic Bias and Fairness**

Because most predictive HR models are trained on historical organizational data, they are structurally prone to reproducing past patterns of discrimination, even when protected characteristics are not used directly as inputs. Recent scholarship examining bias in AI-driven HRM systems has documented discrimination risks affecting women, racial minorities, people with disabilities, and non-binary individuals, and has called for fairness-aware algorithm design, more representative training data, and sustained human oversight as the primary mitigation strategies (Science Direct, 2025). A parallel framework published in AI and Ethics identifies a structural obstacle to addressing this problem: many HR technology vendors treat their algorithms as proprietary trade secrets, which limits the external scrutiny needed to verify fairness claims and leaves accountability for biased outcomes ambiguous between vendor and employer (Springer, 2025).

Legal scholarship has extended this analysis beyond discrimination law into the broader framework of workers' fundamental rights, arguing that algorithmic HRM can implicate the right to equality and non-discrimination, the right to privacy, and, in more extreme cases, the right to work itself when opaque automated decisions are not subject to meaningful appeal (Cambridge Business and Human Rights

---

Journal, 2025). This literature converges on a common prescription: bias audits, diverse development teams, explainable-AI design, and accessible mechanisms for employees to challenge automated decisions.

## **6.2 Privacy, Surveillance, and Employee Trust**

Engagement and performance tools that monitor communication patterns, working hours, or behavioral signals sit close to a line between legitimate wellbeing monitoring and covert surveillance. Industry guidance increasingly stresses that such monitoring should be limited to consented, transparent use cases rather than deployed as an unannounced productivity-tracking mechanism (MiHCM, 2026). Where employees are not informed about how AI is used or what criteria drive an automated decision, trust in the system tends to erode; conversely, organizations that build explain ability and audit trails into their systems, and that provide a clear channel for employees to contest an automated outcome, report stronger perceptions of fairness (TMI, 2026; HR Hub, 2026).

## **6.3 The Regulatory Landscape**

Regulation of AI in employment has moved from a largely voluntary, best-practice framework toward binding legal requirements, though the pace and shape of that regulation differs considerably by jurisdiction. In the United States, a growing patchwork of state-level laws addresses algorithmic bias, data transparency, and workplace surveillance, and federal policymakers are reportedly considering measures to pre-empt this patchwork with a unified national framework (SHRM, 2026). In the European Union, the AI Act designates many employment-related AI applications as high-risk, subject to transparency, bias-auditing, and human-oversight obligations; the compliance deadline for hiring-related high-risk systems was extended from August 2026 to December 2027 following a Digital Omnibus process finalized by the European Council in June 2026 (Truffle, 2026). The EU has separately banned the use of emotion-recognition technology in hiring and workplace contexts since February 2025 (Incruiter, 2026). New York City's Local Law 144, which requires bias audits for automated employment decision tools, has served as an influential template for similar municipal and state legislation elsewhere (Incruiter, 2026).

For multinational employers, this fragmented regulatory picture creates significant compliance complexity, particularly where a single AI-enabled HR platform operates across jurisdictions with materially different disclosure, audit, and consent requirements. Industry guidance increasingly recommends building a single, centralized, audit-ready governance layer capable of demonstrating fairness and traceability across all the jurisdictions in which a system is deployed, rather than attempting to maintain parallel, jurisdiction-specific compliance processes (HR Hub, 2026).

---

## 7. Challenges and Practical Limitations

Beyond the ethical and legal dimensions already discussed, organizations implementing AI in HRM encounter a set of recurring practical obstacles. System integration is frequently cited as a significant barrier: a substantial share of talent acquisition teams report that difficulty connecting AI tools with existing HR information systems slows adoption and limits the realization of expected time savings (JoinGenius, 2025). Adoption also remains uneven by organisational size, with considerably higher uptake among large enterprises than among small and mid-sized organisations, which typically lack the specialised staff and budget required for careful implementation (Truffle, 2026).

A further limitation concerns the durability of reported gains. Much of the quantitative evidence cited throughout this chapter, cost-per-hire reductions, engagement lifts, turnover reductions, originates from vendor-sponsored research, case studies, or industry surveys rather than independent, peer-reviewed evaluation. Figures of this kind are useful for indicating direction and rough magnitude, but they should not be treated as precise, generalisable estimates of what any given organisation will achieve. Careful readers, and particularly those preparing this material for academic publication, should distinguish clearly between findings drawn from controlled research and figures drawn from promotional or self-reported industry sources.

Finally, change management remains an underappreciated constraint. Analyses of successful AI adoption in recruiting consistently identify a common pattern among organisations that achieved positive outcomes: a clearly articulated purpose for the technology, sustained human involvement in decisions, adequate training for the staff who use the tools, close monitoring of outcomes, and an explicit commitment to fairness, paired with a willingness to iterate. Organisations that instead introduced AI tools without this preparation more frequently encountered setbacks (HeroHunt, 2025).

## 8. Future Directions: Agentic AI and Human-AI Collaboration

The next phase of AI in HRM is likely to be defined by the maturation of agentic systems, tools that do not merely recommend an action but execute a bounded sequence of actions autonomously, escalating to a human only when a defined threshold or exception is reached. Early applications already visible in scheduling and initial candidate outreach are likely to extend into onboarding coordination, routine policy queries, and first-pass drafting of performance documentation. Deloitte's analysis of talent acquisition technology trends identifies this shift toward agent-powered recruiting as one of the defining developments organisations must plan for, alongside the broader evolution of the talent acquisition technology stack (Deloitte, 2025).

---

This trajectory raises the stakes of the governance questions discussed in Section 6. An agent that can independently reschedule an interview carries limited risk; an agent that can independently reject a candidate, adjust a performance rating, or flag an employee for a wellbeing intervention carries considerably more. The consistent position across recent scholarship and industry guidance is that human oversight must scale in proportion to the autonomy granted to the system, not remain fixed at the level appropriate to earlier, more constrained tools (MiHCM, 2026; Springer, 2025).

For the HR profession itself, this evolution implies a shift in the skills and roles the function requires. Several sources anticipate the emergence of new specialisms focused on AI governance, model monitoring, and human-AI collaboration design within HR teams, alongside a broader repositioning of recruiters and HR business partners from administrators of process toward strategic advisors who interpret AI-generated insight for the humans who must ultimately act on it (Second Talent, 2026; Hirebee, 2025). The organisations best positioned to benefit from this shift are unlikely to be those that adopt the most tools, but those that pair adoption with the governance discipline, manager capability, and transparency that make the technology trustworthy to the people it affects.

## 9. Conclusion

Artificial intelligence has become a structural feature of contemporary human resource management rather than an experimental add-on. In talent acquisition, it has measurably compressed time-to-hire and reduced administrative burden while leaving final judgement, by most accounts, in human hands. In employee engagement, it has expanded the volume and immediacy of the signals available to managers, without yet reversing a long-standing stagnation in global engagement levels, a result that points to management practice, not technology access, as the binding constraint. In performance management, it is beginning to shift the function from a once-a-year administrative ritual toward a continuous, data-informed process, though most organisations report that this transition remains incomplete.

Running through all three domains is a common thread: the technology's value is contingent on governance. Algorithmic bias, data privacy, and regulatory compliance are not peripheral concerns to be addressed after deployment; they are preconditions for the technology to function as intended, because employees and candidates who do not trust an AI-mediated process will disengage from it regardless of its technical sophistication. As agentic systems extend AI's role from recommendation toward autonomous action, this dependence on trust and oversight will only deepen. The central task for HR leaders, then, is not simply to adopt AI, but to build the organizational capability, transparent design, human oversight, continuous auditing, and manager development, that allows AI to augment human judgment rather than substitute for it.

---

## References.

1. **Articsledge. (2026).** AI in employee engagement 2026: Boost morale and cut turnover. <https://www.articsledge.com/post/ai-employee-engagement>
2. **Careertrainer.ai. (2026).** AI in recruitment: 2026 research stats. <https://careertrainer.ai/en/reports/ai-in-recruitment-statistics/>
3. **Deloitte. (2025).** 2025 talent acquisition technology trends: From agentic AI to the evolution of the TA tech stack. <https://www.deloitte.com/us/en/services/consulting/blogs/human-capital/ai-in-talent-acquisition.html>
4. **Gallup. (2026).** Employee engagement remains flat as AI adoption accelerates. <https://www.gallup.com/workplace/712433/employee-engagement-remains-flat-adoption-accelerates.aspx>
5. **Gojoe. (2026).** 7 ways AI is transforming employee engagement in 2026 (with real HR examples). <https://www.gojoe.com/us/blog/ai-employee-engagement-2026>
6. **HeroHunt. (2025).** AI adoption in recruiting: 2025 year in review. <https://www.herohunt.ai/blog/ai-adoption-in-recruiting-2025-year-in-review/>
7. **Hirebee. (2025).** AI recruitment trends 2025: How AI is transforming hiring. <https://hirebee.ai/blog/ai-recruitment-trends-2025-how-artificial-intelligence-is-transforming-hiring/>
8. **HR Hub. (2026).** Ethical AI in HR software: The end of the black box (2026). <https://www.hrhub.app/blogs/ethical-ai-in-hr-software-transparent-hr-2026>
9. **Incruiter. (2026).** AI in recruitment 2026: Trends, stats and what's actually working. <https://incruiter.com/blog/ai-in-recruitment-2026-trends-stats-what-works/>
10. **JoinGenius. (2025).** AI in talent acquisition: Trends, statistics, examples 2025. <https://joingenius.com/recruiting/ai-in-talent-acquisition/>
11. **MiHCM. (2026).** AI in performance management: The complete guide 2026. <https://mihcm.com/resources/blog/ai-in-performance-management-the-complete-guide-2026/>
12. **People Managing People. (2026).** 10 best AI employee engagement tools reviewed in 2026. <https://peoplemanagingpeople.com/tools/best-ai-engagement-tools/>



S.P.K PUBLICATIONS

3/597, Lakshmanapuram, Kulathur (po),  
Dindigul – 624 005, Tamil Nadu, India.

Ph: 93440 51838

Mail: info@publications.spkgrps.com

www.publications.spkgrps.com

ADVANCED PERSPECTIVES  
IN MULTIDISCIPLINARY  
RESEARCH VOLUME I

Exploring New Frontiers Across Disciplines



EDITORS



Dr. S. Senthilkumar

HOD and Associate Professor in Finance and Management  
Skyline University Nigeria, SAMAA – School of Arts,  
Management and Social Sciences,  
Kano, Nigeria.



Dr. Chokkamreddy Prakash

Assistant Professor  
School of Management Studies,  
Guru Nanak Institutions Technical Campus,  
Hyderabad.



Dr. J. Vimal Priyan

Head of the Department  
Department of Commerce CA (SF)  
V.H.N.Senthikumara Nadar College (Autonomous),  
Virudhunagar, Tamilnadu.



Dr. G. Rajkumar

Assistant Professor  
PG and Research Department of Chemistry,  
Vivekananda College,  
Tiruvedakam West, Madurai, Tamilnadu.



ABOUT THE BOOK

*Advanced Perspectives in Multidisciplinary Research Volume I* brings together innovative research works from diverse fields to address contemporary challenges and explore emerging opportunities. This volume showcases high-quality, peer-reviewed chapters that contribute to the advancement of knowledge, foster interdisciplinary dialogue, and inspire new directions for future research.



KEY FEATURES

- ✓ Peer-reviewed and quality assured chapters
- ✓ Multidisciplinary coverage across fields
- ✓ Written by academicians, researchers and experts
- ✓ Focus on contemporary issues and innovations
- ✓ Useful for researchers, scholars and practitioners

ABOUT THE PUBLISHER

S.P.K Publications is a registered academic publisher committed to excellence in scholarly publishing. We publish high-quality books and research works across a wide range of disciplines to support the global academic and research community. Our goal is to promote knowledge dissemination and foster innovation for a better tomorrow.



Quality  
Publishing



Academic  
Excellence



Global  
Reach



Research  
Support

PUBLICATION INFORMATION

Book Title: Advanced Perspectives in  
Multidisciplinary Research

Volume: I

ISBN No.: 978-81-688722-1-9

Publisher: S.P.K Publications

Language: English

Publication Type: Edited Book

Country: India

Year: 2026

ISBN: 978-81-688722-1-9



S.P.K  
PUBLICATIONS

Knowledge. Innovation. Impact.